

DTIC FILE COPY

DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
AD-A223 943			<small>to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and tion of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including vice, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>	
			2. REPORT DATE April 1990	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Professional Paper
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE ESTIMATING VELOCITY RATIO IN MARINE SEDIMENT			5. FUNDING NUMBERS In-house	
6. AUTHOR(S) R. T. Bachman				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152-5000				
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152-000				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISSEMINATION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>Presented in this letter are least-squares regression relationships between velocity ratio (R; the ratio between surficial sediment sound speed and bottom water sound speed) and sediment mean grain size (M_z, phi units), porosity (η, %), and density (ρ, kg/m³). The relationships are: $R = 1.296 - 6.01 \times 10^{-2} M_z + 2.83 \times 10^{-2} M_z^2$; $R = 1.675 - 1.639 \times 10^{-2} \eta + 9.762 \times 10^{-5} \eta^2$; and $R = 1.513 - 8.24 \times 10^{-4} \rho + 3.2249 \times 10^{-7} \rho^2$. These equations, respectively, explain 91.6%, 88.0%, and 86.4% of the variation observed in velocity ratio. Velocity ratio relationships are more convenient than those previously available because they do not require temperature and pressure correction to <i>in situ</i> conditions.</p> <div style="text-align: center;"> </div> <p>Published in <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i>, November 1989.</p>				
14. SUBJECT TERMS velocity ratio marine sediment			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAME AS PAPER	

Estimating velocity ratio in marine sediment

Richard T. Bachman

Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego, California 92152-5000

(Received 24 March 1989; accepted for publication 17 July 1989)

Presented in this letter are least-squares regression relationships between velocity ratio (R ; the ratio between surficial sediment sound speed and bottom water sound speed) and sediment mean grain size (M_z , phi units), porosity (η , %), and density (ρ , kg/m^3). The relationships are: $R = 1.296 - 6.01 \times 10^{-5} M_z + 2.83 \times 10^{-3} M_z^2$, $R = 1.675 - 1.639 \times 10^{-2} \eta + 9.762 \times 10^{-5} \eta^2$, and $R = 1.513 - 8.24 \times 10^{-4} \rho + 3.2249 \times 10^{-7} \rho^2$. These equations, respectively, explain 91.6%, 88.0%, and 86.4% of the variation observed in velocity ratio. Velocity ratio relationships are more convenient than those previously available because they do not require temperature and pressure correction to *in situ* conditions.

PACS numbers: 43.30.Ma

INTRODUCTION

For more than a decade, workers have used Hamilton's equations (Hamilton, 1970, 1974; Hamilton and Bachman, 1982; Bachman, 1985) to estimate the speed of sound in seafloor sediment when measurements were not available. These empirical equations relate sound speed at laboratory conditions to mean grain size, density, and porosity (the

volume percent of voids in the sediment). Hamilton's equations are useful because sediment physical property measurements are frequently available when acoustic measurements are not. However, to be used in acoustic propagation studies, laboratory sound speed (23 °C, 1-atm pressure) must be corrected to *in situ* conditions.

A more convenient method is to construct regressions relating the ratio [(sound speed in sediment)/(sound speed in seawater)] to appropriate physical properties. This ratio,

hereafter called "velocity ratio" or "ratio," is constant for a given sediment sample: It is the same in the laboratory as it is at the seafloor at any water depth. See Hamilton (1971) for the theoretical and experimental background. To determine *in situ* surface sediment sound speed, one simply multiplies the speed of sound in the bottom water at the desired location by the velocity ratio. In surficial sediment, grain size, density, and porosity do not vary significantly with temperature and pressure (Hamilton, 1971), so these estimators may be used as measured in the laboratory.

This letter presents regression equations relating velocity ratio to sediment mean grain size (M_z), density (ρ), and porosity (η). The samples are widely distributed geographically and are from continental terrace, abyssal plain, and abyssal hill environments. The terrace environment is composed of continental shelves and slopes. The samples are terrigenous, or nonbiogenic pelagic seafloor sediment.

I. LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

The measurements used in this letter are those listed and discussed in Hamilton and Bachman (1982) and Bachman (1985). The information presented here supplements the relationships between sediment properties discussed in these and earlier papers. Sound velocity was determined by measuring temperature and sound speed in a sediment sample. These velocities were corrected to 23 °C and 1-atm pressure using tables for the speed of sound in seawater (Bialek, 1966). Velocity ratio was determined by dividing sediment sound speed at 23 °C by the speed of sound in seawater at 23 °C, 1-atm pressure, and of the same salinity as the bottom water at the sample site.

Grain size distribution was determined using the pipet technique for the silt and clay sample fraction (Krumbein and Pettijohn, 1938) and a settling column for the sand fraction (Emery, 1938). Mean grain size was obtained from the cumulative grain size curves using one of the following equations:

$$M_z = \phi_{50}: 64\%, \quad (1)$$

$$M_z = (\phi_{30} + \phi_{50} + \phi_{70})/3: 82\%, \quad (2)$$

$$M_z = (\phi_{25} + \phi_{50} + \phi_{75})/3: 86\%, \quad (3)$$

$$M_z = (\phi_{20} + \phi_{50} + \phi_{80})/3: 88\%, \quad (4)$$

$$M_z = (\phi_{16} + \phi_{50} + \phi_{84})/3: 88\%, \quad (5)$$

$$M_z = (\phi_{10} + \phi_{30} + \phi_{50} + \phi_{70} + \phi_{90})/5: 93\%. \quad (6)$$

The notation ϕ_n means the grain size in phi units corresponding to the n th percentile [$\phi = -\log_2$ (grain size in mm)]. The percentage following each equation is the efficiency of the equation at estimating the moment mean (McCammon, 1962). The equation used for a particular sample depended on the availability of the appropriate cumulative percentages. Equations (1), (5), and (6) are reviewed by Folk (1966, p. 81). Equation (4) was suggested by McCammon (1962). Equations (2) and (3) were introduced to permit computation of M_z when the measured size distribution did not permit the use of Eqs. (4), (5), or (6).

Sediment density was determined by weighing the known volume of sediment obtained using a stainless steel tube. Porosity was measured by drying the density sample and determining the void volume from the weight of water lost. Porosities were corrected for the salt content of the pore water.

II. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Weighted regression analysis produced the following equation relating mean grain size in phi units to velocity ratio (R):

$$R = 1.296 - 6.01 \times 10^{-2} M_z + 2.83 \times 10^{-3} M_z^2. \quad (7)$$

Mean grain size measurement efficiencies were expressed as decimal fractions and used as weights. This equation explains 91.6% of the observed variation in the velocity ratio. A weighted regression approach was taken because the independent variable was estimated using several different equations [(1)–(6)].

In contrast to mean grain size, sediment density and porosity are direct measurements and are expected to have approximately constant variances. Therefore, unweighted regression analysis was used to relate velocity ratio to porosity (expressed as a percent) and to density (kg/m^3). The equation for porosity is

$$R = 1.675 - 1.639 \times 10^{-2} \eta + 9.762 \times 10^{-5} \eta^2, \quad (8)$$

which explains 88.0% of the observed ratio variation. The equation for density is

$$R = 1.513 - 8.24 \times 10^{-4} \rho + 3.2249 \times 10^{-7} \rho^2. \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) explains 86.4% of the variation observed in velocity ratio. The data for these regressions and the resulting equations are illustrated in Fig. 1.

Table I shows statistics for each regression equation. This table also contains the elements of a matrix (C) useful in calculating the variance of velocity ratio estimated for a particular value of the independent variable (Draper and Smith, 1981, p. 210):

$$\text{Var}(R) = s^2 [1, X_0, X_0^2] C \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X_0 \\ X_0^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where X_0 is the value of the independent variable, and s^2 is residual mean-square error (from Table I). The square root of the variance is the standard error of the estimate of the true value of velocity ratio.

III. DISCUSSION

It is instructive to compare the use and results of the equations presented here with Hamilton's. For example, consider an abyssal hill silty clay of 8.76 phi mean grain size, a porosity of 81.2%, and a density of 1.344 g/cm^3 (the averages for this sediment type; Hamilton and Bachman, 1982, Tables III and IV). Assume that this sediment was recovered from 6000-m depth where the bottom water salinity is 34.69 ppt, and the temperature is 1.5 °C. The bottom water sound speed is 1559.8 m/s *in situ*, and 1529.7 m/s at 23 °C and 1-atm pressure (Hamilton, 1971, p. 272).




FIG. 1. Velocity ratio versus mean grain size, density, and porosity.

Equations (7)–(9) predict sound-speed ratios of 0.987, 0.988, and 0.988, which, when multiplied by 1559.8 m/s, indicate *in situ* sediment sound speeds of 1539.5 and 1541.1 m/s. The variance of the predicted ratios is between 1 and 2×10^{-6} , which equates to a standard error of 0.001, or 2 m/s *in situ*.

TABLE I. Regression coefficients and associated statistics.

Ratio = f (mean grain size, phi units)

Regression coefficients and standard errors

$B_0 = 1.296$,	s.e. = 6.4823×10^{-3} ,	
$B_1 = -6.01 \times 10^{-2}$,	s.e. = 2.2124×10^{-3} ,	
$B_2 = 2.83 \times 10^{-3}$,	s.e. = 1.7496×10^{-4} ,	

residual mean-square error = 0.0003

regression F statistic = 2823: number of points = 523

matrix $C =$

0.1567	-5.1767×10^{-2}	3.8875×10^{-3}
-5.1767×10^{-2}	1.825×10^{-2}	-1.4240×10^{-3}
3.8875×10^{-3}	-1.4240×10^{-3}	1.1413×10^{-4}

Ratio = f (porosity, %)

regression coefficients and standard errors

$B_0 = 1.675$,	s.e. = 2.1013×10^{-2} ,	
$B_1 = -1.639 \times 10^{-2}$,	s.e. = 6.7058×10^{-4} ,	
$B_2 = 9.762 \times 10^{-5}$,	s.e. = 5.1822×10^{-6} ,	

residual mean-square error = 0.0004

regression F statistic = 1876: number of points = 515

matrix $C =$

1.1469	-3.6325×10^{-2}	2.7619×10^{-4}
-3.6325×10^{-2}	1.1680×10^{-3}	-8.9829×10^{-6}
2.7619×10^{-4}	-8.9829×10^{-6}	6.9752×10^{-8}

Ratio = f (density, kg/m³)

regression coefficients and standard errors

$B_0 = 1.513$,	s.e. = 4.6431×10^{-2} ,	
$B_1 = -8.24 \times 10^{-4}$,	s.e. = 5.8040×10^{-5} ,	
$B_2 = 3.2249 \times 10^{-7}$,	s.e. = 1.7851×10^{-8} ,	

residual mean-square error = 0.0004

regression F statistic = 1623: number of points = 515

matrix $C =$

4.9364	-6.1553×10^{-3}	1.8793×10^{-6}
-6.1553×10^{-3}	7.7135×10^{-6}	-2.3664×10^{-9}
1.8793×10^{-6}	-2.3664×10^{-9}	7.2965×10^{-13}

Hamilton's equations (Hamilton and Bachman, 1982, p. 1902) for abyssal hill sediment predict laboratory sound speeds of 1504.9, 1506.3, and 1506.4 m/s from mean grain size, density, and porosity, respectively. The standard errors for these predictions are 12, 13, and 13 m/s. The sound-speed ratios are 0.984 and 0.985, with a standard error of 0.008. These ratios indicate *in situ* sound speeds of 1534.8 and 1536.4 m/s, with a standard error of 12 m/s.

Thus the equations presented in this letter produce results that are close to Hamilton's and offer an improvement in the standard error of the estimates. This discussion also illustrates the savings in computation afforded by directly predicting sound velocity ratio.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Because it eliminates the need to correct for temperature and pressure differences, velocity ratio is a more convenient measure of surficial sediment sound speed than is sound speed in the laboratory. Equations (7)–(9) provide a means to estimate easily velocity ratio from sediment density, porosity, and grain size distribution. Frequently, one of these is available when acoustic measurements are not.

It must be emphasized that these regression relationships are for surficial, unconsolidated sediment only (i.e., within a few meters of the seafloor for silts and clays, and within a few tens of centimeters of the seafloor for sands). It must also be emphasized that these relationships are for sediments composed dominantly of solid particles: Hollow biogenous grains behave differently (e.g., Hamilton *et al.*, 1982; Bachman, 1984).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Marshall Hall suggested the utility of this analysis. F. L. Hamilton read and helped to improve the manuscript, as did an anonymous reviewer.

- Bachman, R. T. (1985). "Acoustic and Physical Property Relationships in Marine Sediment," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 78, 616–621.
 Bachman, R. T. (1984). "Intratest Porosity in Foraminifera," *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 54, 257–262.

Bialek, E. L. (1966). *Handbook of Oceanographic Tables* (U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office, Spcl. Publ. SP-68), pp. 324-362.

Draper, N. R., and Smith, H. (1981). *Applied Regression Analysis* (Wiley, New York).

Emery, K. O. (1938). "Rapid Method of Mechanical Analysis of Sands," *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 8, 105-111.

Folk, R. L. (1966). "A Review of Grain-Size Parameters," *Sedimentol.* 6, 73-93.

Hamilton, E. L. (1970). "Sound Velocity and Related Properties of Marine Sediments, North Pacific," *J. Geophys. Res.* 75, 4423-4446.

Hamilton, E. L. (1971). "Prediction of *In-Situ* Acoustic and Elastic Properties of Marine Sediments," *Geophysics* 36, 266-284.

Hamilton, E. L. (1974). "Prediction of Deep-Sea Sediment Properties:

State-of-the-Art," in *Deep-Sea Sediments*, edited by A. L. Inderbitzen (Plenum, New York), pp. 1-43.

Hamilton, E. L., and Bachman, R. T. (1982). "Sound Velocity and Related Properties of Marine Sediments," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 72, 1891-1904.

Hamilton, E. L., Bachman, R. T., Berger, W. H., Johnson, T. C., and Mayer, L. A. (1982). "Acoustic and Related Properties of Calcareous Deep-Sea Sediments," *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 52, 733-753.

Krumbein, W. C., and Pettijohn, F. J. (1938). *Manual of Sedimentary Petrography* (Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York), pp. 166-172.

McCammon, R. B. (1962). "Efficiencies of Percentile Measures for Describing the Mean Size and Sorting of Sedimentary Particles," *J. Geol.* 70, 453-465.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	20

